1	Glenn Katon SBN 281841		
2	KATON.LAW 385 Grand Avenue, Suite 200		
3	Oakland, CA 94610 gkaton@katon.law		
4	(510) 463-3350 (510) 463-3349 (fax)		
5	ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS		
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANC	CISCO DIVISION	
11	BRIAN HOFER, et al,	Case No. 3:19-cv-02205-JSC	
12	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND	
13	v.	TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS	
14	KYLE EMLEY, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17			
18	Plaintiffs Brian and Jonathan Hofer and Defendants Vigilant Solutions, Inc. and City of		
19	San José file this Stipulated Motion to Extend Time to Respond to Motions to Dismiss pursuant		
20	to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1), based upon the following:		
21			
22	Defendants City of San José and Vigilant Solutions, Inc. filed Motions to Dismiss		
23	Plaintiffs' Complaint pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) on July 1, 2019 (Dkts 12 and 13, respectively).		
24	Plaintiffs' deadline to respond to those motions is July 15, 2019, under Local Rule 7-3(a). After		
25	City of San José and Vigilant Solutions moved to dismiss, Plaintiffs agreed to an extension of		
26	time for Defendant Contra Costa County and two of its Defendant deputies to respond to the		
27	Complaint until July 15, 2019. Plaintiffs requested, and City of San José and Vigilant Solutions		
28			
	STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME 3:19-cv-02205	E TO RESPOND TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS Page 1	

1	agreed to, an extension of time to oppose their motions until July 22, 2019, so they may review		
2	the Contra Costa County Defendants response to the Complaint and decide whether to amend		
3	their pleading pursuant to Rule 15(a)(1)(B).		
4	Accordingly, Plaintiffs Brian and Jonathan Hofer and Defendants Vigilant Solutions, Inc.		
5	and City of San José move the Court to approve the following deadlines:		
6 7	July 22, 2019: Plaintiffs' opposition to Vigilant Solutions and City of San José's Motions to Dismiss		
8	August 2, 2019: Vigilant Solutions and City of San José's reply to Plaintiffs' opposition  August 22, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. (Unchanged): Hearing on Motions to Dismiss		
9			
10	Respectfully submitted,		
11	,	WILD ATTRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON	
12	KATON.LAW	KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP	
13	<u>/s/ Glenn Katon</u> Glenn Katon	<u>/s/ Holly Gaudreau</u> Holly Gaudreau	
14	Counsel for Brian and Jonathan Hofer	Counsel for Vigilant Solutions, Inc.	
15 16	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY   CITY OF SAN JOSÉ		
17	<u>/s/ Julia Van Roo</u> Julia Van Roo		
18	Counsel for City of San José		
19			
20	onn.		
21	<u>ORDER</u>		
22	The Court GRANTS the parties' stipulated motion and ORDERS that the briefing		
23	schedule specified above shall govern the Motions to Dismiss filed by Defendants City of San		
24	José and Vigilant Solutions, Inc.		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED on July, 2019		
26			
27	HO UN	N. JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY ITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
28			
	STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME T	O RESPOND TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS	

STIPULATED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS 3:19-cv-02205 Page 2